



## Commentary

## What is the future of ribavirin therapy for hepatitis C?



Christopher Koh\*, T. Jake Liang\*

Liver Diseases Branch, National Institute of Diabetes &amp; Digestive &amp; Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 9 November 2013

Revised 8 January 2014

Accepted 12 January 2014

Available online 25 January 2014

## Keywords:

Hepatitis C virus

Ribavirin

Chronic hepatitis C

Direct-acting antivirals

Antiviral therapy

## ABSTRACT

With the introduction of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy against hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, the field is rapidly evolving towards interferon-free regimens with high sustained virologic response (SVR) rates. The ultimate goal of therapy in chronic HCV infection should include an easily dosed all-oral regimen that is highly effective, inexpensive, pan-genotypic, safe and tolerable, with minimal to no resistance. Various investigational DAA regimens are currently under evaluation with and without ribavirin (Rbv). With the projected arrival of improved therapies over the next 5 years, the future role of Rbv comes into question. Despite being plagued by the lack of understanding of its mechanism of action and significant side effects such as anemia, Rbv has been a part of the standard-of-care therapies in chronic HCV infection for more than 10 years. As we look towards the future HCV therapy, Rbv may still have utility in the care of patients infected with HCV because of its low cost and potentially added value in combination with other DAAs. This article forms part of a symposium in *Antiviral Research* on "Hepatitis C: next steps toward global eradication."

Published by Elsevier B.V.

With the recent introduction of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapy for chronic hepatitis C (HCV) infection, the field is rapidly evolving towards interferon-free treatment regimens. Therapy including ribavirin (Rbv) has been a part of the standard-of-care for chronic HCV for more than a decade, and despite known toxicities and side effects, Rbv remains an essential component of approved DAA regimens. As we eagerly await for the arrival of highly efficacious DAA combination regimens that are easily administered, with good safety and tolerability, the future role of Rbv in HCV therapy comes into question. This commentary forms part of a symposium in *Antiviral Research* on "Hepatitis C: next steps toward global eradication."

Rbv is a guanosine nucleoside analog that was first identified to have broad-spectrum antiviral activity against both deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models (Sidwell et al., 1972). In 1986, Rbv first obtained Food

and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the therapy of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection (Hall et al., 1983). In various studies, Rbv has also been shown to be active against many other viruses (Kamar et al., 2010; Koren et al., 2003; McCormick et al., 1986; Huggins et al., 1991), including viruses similar to HCV (Sidwell et al., 1972; Patterson and Fernandez-Larsson, 1990). This initial observation led to anti-HCV pilot studies evaluating Rbv monotherapy in the early 1990's which showed little antiviral effect but improvement in markers of liver injury (ALT elevations) (Reichard et al., 1991; Bisceglie et al., 1992). Follow-up studies have confirmed that Rbv is inefficient at decreasing viral load *in vivo* (Dusheiko et al., 1996; Lee et al., 1998), but does result in a biochemical effect (Tong et al., 1994; Di Bisceglie et al., 1995). Rbv was then tested in combination with interferon-alpha and resulted in a substantial improvement in SVR rates (from 6–16% to 34–42% with 6 or 12 months of therapy) (Brillanti et al., 1994; Strader et al., 2004; Chemello et al., 1995). This regimen was more clinically effective than either drug alone (Buckwold, 2004), and thus became the standard of therapy of chronic HCV infection for more than 10 years (Brillanti et al., 1994; Strader et al., 2004; Ghany et al., 2009; EASL, 2011).

Since these and other landmark studies, Rbv has become an essential component of all HCV therapies. In patients with HCV genotype 1 or 4 infection, a weight-based Rbv regimen has been recommended (1000 mg/d in patients <75 kg and 1200 mg/d in patients ≥ 75 kg) whereas a fixed dosage of Rbv (800 mg/d) is recommended in genotype 2 or 3 infection (Strader et al., 2004; Ghany

**Abbreviations:** Rbv, ribavirin; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; RNA, ribonucleic acid; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; HCV, hepatitis C; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; SVR, sustained virological response; DAA, direct-acting antiviral.

\* Address: Liver Diseases Branch, National Institutes of Diabetes & Digestive & Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bldg. 10, Room 9B16, 10 Center Drive, MSC 1800, Bethesda, MD 20892-1800, United States. Tel.: +1 301 496 1721; fax: +1 301 402 0491.

E-mail addresses: [Christopher.Koh@nih.gov](mailto:Christopher.Koh@nih.gov) (C. Koh), [jakeL@bldg10.niddk.nih.gov](mailto:jakeL@bldg10.niddk.nih.gov) (T.J. Liang).

et al., 2009; EASL, 2011). During the era of “dual therapy” with peginterferon and ribavirin, the expected SVR rate was approximately 50% in genotype 1 infection and 80% in genotype 2/3 infection.

Despite the success of Rbv in HCV therapy, the specific mechanism(s) of Rbv against HCV has yet to be elucidated. This knowledge gap has made it difficult to further improve on Rbv's action. Various mechanisms have been proposed, including: (1) RNA viral mutagenesis through incorporation of Rbv triphosphate into the HCV viral genome that can cause nucleotide transitions; (2) direct inhibition against HCV RNA dependent RNA polymerases leading to inhibition of genome replication; (3) inhibition of host inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase leading to decreased synthesis and lower levels of GTP with resultant decrease in viral replication; (4) alteration of the host adaptive immune response through Th2 response suppression and Th1 response induction leading to increased clearance of infected cells; (5) potentiation of interferon action by modulating genes involved in interferon signaling and/or an indirect mechanism that may act to reset interferon-responsiveness in an HCV-infected liver (Hofmann et al., 2007; Chevaliez et al., 2007; Chung et al., 2008; Feld et al., 2010; Thomas et al., 2011; Dietz et al., 2013; Rotman et al., 2014). Additionally, as a component of dual therapy, Rbv's side effects have prohibited many patients from successfully completing therapy. Such side effects include hemolytic anemia, fatigue, itching, rash, sinusitis and gout. Deaths from Rbv have also resulted from myocardial

infarction in those with significant and/or unstable cardiac disease (Rebetol, 2013). Studies to increase SVR rates through higher doses (1400–3600 mg daily) of Rbv showed improved response rates but were associated with unacceptable side effects (hemolytic anemia) (Jacobson et al., 2007; Lindahl et al., 2005). Although these studies do not support the use of higher doses of Rbv in patients, they do provide an interesting scenario in which the maximal efficacy of Rbv has not been reached in HCV therapy.

Alternatively, viramidine (Taribavirin®), a nucleoside analogue and oral prodrug of Rbv that is converted to Rbv by adenosine deaminase, was designed with the hope of reducing the side effect of hemolytic anemia and increasing the efficacy of combination therapy (Wu et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2004). In two phase 3 clinical trials (ViSER1 and ViSER2), Taribavirin, although resulting in less anemia, proved to be less effective than Rbv in achieving a SVR (Benhamou et al., 2009; Marcellin et al., 2010). This was thought to be due to inadequate fixed dosing of Taribavirin in both studies, and a follow-up phase IIB study evaluating weight-based Taribavirin has demonstrated more promising results (Poordad et al., 2010). Alternative forms of interferon, such as consensus or lambda interferon, have been tested in HCV therapy, but it remains to be shown whether they are better than standard peginterferon (Ho et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2010; Muir et al., 2010; Vierling et al., 2012; Izumi et al., 2012). Thus, without knowledge of the specific mechanisms of Rbv against HCV, along with the significant side effects of therapy, it is unclear whether dual therapy of peginterferon

**Table 1**  
Single direct-acting antiviral plus ribavirin studies.

| Year & trial acronym                 | Treatment regimen      | Number of patients | Experience/genotype/treatment duration (wks) | Sustained virologic response rate | Reference             |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2013<br><i>ELECTRON<sup>a</sup></i>  | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 10                 | Naïve/<br>2,3/<br>12                         | 100%                              | Gane et al., 2013     |
|                                      |                        | 25                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 84%                               |                       |
|                                      | Sofosbuvir             | 10                 | Naïve/<br>2,3/<br>12                         | 60%                               | Jacobson et al., 2013 |
|                                      | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 10                 | Null/<br>1/<br>24                            | 10%                               |                       |
| 2013<br><i>NIH-SPARE<sup>a</sup></i> | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 10                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>24                           | 68–90%                            | Osinusi et al., 2013  |
|                                      | Sofosbuvir + 0.6 g Rbv | 25                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>24                           | 48%                               |                       |
| 2013<br><i>POSITRON<sup>a</sup></i>  | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 207                | Naïve/<br>2,3/<br>12                         | 78%<br>(SVR12)                    | Jacobson et al., 2013 |
| 2013<br><i>FISSION<sup>a</sup></i>   | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 253                | Naïve/<br>2,3/<br>12                         | 67%<br>(SVR12)                    | Lawitz and Gane, 2013 |
| 2013<br><i>FUSION<sup>a</sup></i>    | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 100                | Null/<br>2,3/<br>12                          | 50%<br>(SVR12)                    | Jacobson et al., 2013 |
|                                      |                        | 95                 | Null/<br>2,3/<br>16                          | 73%<br>(SVR12)                    |                       |
| 2013<br><i>VALENCE<sup>b</sup></i>   | Sofosbuvir + Rbv       | 73                 | Naïve/<br>2/<br>12                           | 93%<br>(SVR12)                    | Zeuzem et al., 2013   |
|                                      |                        | 250                | Naïve/<br>3/<br>24                           | 85%<br>(SVR12)                    |                       |

The results from these studies demonstrate that the combination of sofosbuvir and ribavirin can be used in the treatment of HCV genotype 2/3 infection and does not appear to be inferior to the current standard of care.

Abbreviations: wks, weeks; Rbv, ribavirin; SVR, sustained virologic response.

<sup>a</sup> Sofosbuvir was administered at 400 mg/day with weight-based ribavirin (1000 mg/d in patients < 75 kg and 1200 mg/d in patients ≥ 75 kg).

<sup>b</sup> Dosing not available.

and Rbv can be further improved. Additionally, with the potency demonstrated in current investigational therapies, there may not be a need for Rbv analogues or alternative forms of interferon.

In 2011, the first direct-acting antivirals (DAAs), boceprevir and telaprevir, were approved by the FDA for use as “triple therapy” in combination with peginterferon and ribavirin in patients with chronic HCV genotype 1 infection (Poordad et al., 2011; McHutchison et al., 2009; Hezode et al., 2009; Bacon et al., 2011). These DAAs target the HCV NS3/NS4A protease and significantly increase SVR rates to 69–75% when used as “triple therapy” (Poordad et al., 2011; Jacobson et al., 2011). Thus, triple therapy is currently the recommended therapy for chronic HCV genotype 1 infection (Ghany et al., 2011; Liang and Ghany, 2013). However, despite these improved SVR rates, there is still much room for improvement as the current therapy is poorly tolerated given the additional side effects of the first-generation DAAs and the low response rates in prior null responders to dual therapy. In retrospective analysis of these pivotal trials, it is interesting to note that Rbv dose can be significantly reduced (as low as 600 mg per day) without compromising the treatment response to the triple therapy (Sulkowski et al., 2011a; Sulkowski et al., 2011b). This observation raises the intriguing possibility that in combination with more potent anti-HCV drugs, the maximal dose of Rbv may not be necessary.

As we look towards the future, the field of HCV therapy will explode with various investigational DAAs targeting viral enzymes and proteins involved in essential functions of the HCV lifecycle. Various DAAs under investigation include NS3/4A protease inhibitors, nucleoside/nucleotide and non-nucleoside inhibitors of the

RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and NS5A inhibitors (Liang and Ghany, 2013).

In the immediate future, as evidenced by ongoing and published clinical trials, the goal of therapy appears to be interferon-free regimens. However, the use of Rbv in combination with DAAs may still be necessary in the next round of DAA regimens. Many of the recently published all-oral regimen studies still utilize Rbv in combination with one to three DAAs. In the various phase II & III trials with a single DAA (the polymerase inhibitor sofosbuvir) and ribavirin (Gane et al., 2013; Osinusi et al., 2013; Jacobson et al., 2013; Lawitz and Gane, 2013; Zeuzem et al., 2013) (Table 1), investigators have shown that not only is an interferon-free regimen possible, but in the treatment of HCV genotype 2/3, a single DAA plus Rbv for 16 weeks is not inferior to current standard of care peginterferon and Rbv therapy. Additionally, one study demonstrated the continued importance of Rbv in DAA regimens as only 60% of genotype 2/3 infected patients achieved an SVR with sofosbuvir monotherapy versus the 100% SVR seen with sofosbuvir plus ribavirin (Gane et al., 2013). Thus for the near future, therapy of genotype 2/3 will still require Rbv.

Although a single DAA plus Rbv regimen appears possible for chronic HCV genotype 2/3 infection, it may not be feasible for the more difficult-to-treat genotype 1 infection. Currently, a multitude of two and three DAA plus ribavirin regimens for 12–24 weeks are being investigated in genotype 1 patients. Various two DAA plus ribavirin phase 2 clinical trials (Jacobson et al., 2012; Poordad et al., 2013; Lawitz et al., 2013; Zeuzem et al., 2013; Lawitz et al., 2013) have shown high rates of SVR in

**Table 2**  
Two direct-acting antiviral plus ribavirin studies.

| Year & trial acronym    | Treatment regimen                              | Number of Patients | Experience/genotype/treatment duration (wks) | Sustained virological response Rate | Reference             |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2012<br><i>ZENITH</i>   | Telaprevir (PI) + VX-222 (NNI) + Rbv           | 5                  | Naïve/<br>1a/<br>12                          | 100%                                | Jacobson et al., 2012 |
|                         |                                                | 6                  | Naïve/<br>1b/<br>12                          | 67%                                 |                       |
| 2013<br><i>CO-PILOT</i> | 250 mg ABT 450/r (PI) + ABT-333 (NNI) + Rbv    | 19                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 95%<br>(SVR12)                      | Poordad et al., 2013  |
|                         | 150 mg ABT 450/r (PI) + ABT-333 (NNI) + Rbv    | 14                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 93%<br>(SVR12)                      |                       |
|                         |                                                | 17                 | Null & Partial/<br>1/<br>12                  | 47%<br>(SVR12)                      |                       |
| 2013<br><i>PILOT</i>    | ABT 450/r (PI) + ABT-072 (NNI) + Rbv           | 11                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 73%<br>(SVR48)                      | Lawitz et al., 2013   |
| 2012<br><i>SOUND-C2</i> | Faldaprevir (PI) + deleobuvir (TID)(NNI) + Rbv | 81                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>16, 28, or 40                | 52–59%<br>(SVR12)                   | Zeuzem et al., 2013   |
|                         | Faldaprevir (PI) + deleobuvir (BID)(NNI) + Rbv | 80                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>28                           | 69%<br>(SVR12)                      |                       |
|                         | Faldaprevir (PI) + deleobuvir (TID)(NNI)       | 77                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>28                           | 39%<br>(SVR12)                      |                       |
|                         |                                                | 78                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>28                           | 39%<br>(SVR12)                      |                       |
| 2013<br><i>C-WORTHY</i> | MK-5172 (PI) + 20 mg MK-8742 (NS5A) + Rbv      | 25                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 96%<br>(SVR12)                      | Lawitz et al., 2013   |
|                         | MK-5172 (PI) + 50 mg MK-8742 (NS5A) + Rbv      | 27                 | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 89%<br>(SVR12)                      |                       |
| 2013                    | Daclatasvir (NS5a) + sofosbuvir (NI) + Rbv     | 21                 | TVR or BOC Failure/<br>1/<br>24              | 100%<br>(SVR4)                      | Sulkowski, 2013       |

The results from these studies show that two DAA plus Rbv regimens can be used to treat genotype 1 treatment naïve patients.

Abbreviations: wks, weeks; PI, protease inhibitor; NNI, non-nucleoside inhibitor; r, ritonavir; BID, twice daily; TID, three times daily; Rbv, ribavirin; SVR, sustained virologic response; TVR, Telaprevir; BOC, boceprevir

genotype 1 treatment naïve patients (Table 2). In one study, the incorporation of Rbv resulted in a marked improvement in SVR in prior null responders (59% with Rbv vs. 39% without Rbv) (Zeuzem et al., 2013). Additionally, despite Rbv's known side effects, the use of Rbv in these regimens did not seem to significantly worsen the overall adverse event profiles or quality of life (Younossi et al., 2013). This suggests that Rbv may continue to have added value in interferon-free regimens without the observed side effects and toxicities seen in combination with interferon.

Currently, ribavirin-free regimens are being investigated. Initial evidence of this was described in one arm of a clinical trial (Gane et al., 2010) in which an all-oral DAA combination without RBV demonstrated antiviral activity. Subsequent follow-up phase 2 studies evaluating two DAAs (Lawitz et al., 2013; Sulkowski, 2013; Lok et al., 2012; Lok et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2013)

and three DAAs (Kowdley et al., 2013; Everson et al., 2013) for 12–24 weeks have described that high rates of SVR are possible in genotype 1, 2 and 3 HCV infections without Rbv (Table 3). Although the subject numbers in these early phase Rbv-free clinical trials are small, there appear to be no significant differences in SVR rates comparing groups with or without Rbv in both dual and triple combination therapy (Sulkowski, 2013; Kowdley et al., 2013). As Rbv-free studies are still being tested, data on the feasibility of 12 weeks of therapy with dual DAAs and triple-DAA combinations in treatment-experienced populations are lacking. Taken together, we can anticipate that by combining DAAs with various modes of action, high SVR is attainable, particularly in genotype 1 and more difficult-to-treat populations, and a shortened course of therapy may be possible, and therapy without RBV is possible.

**Table 3**

Studies with ribavirin free direct-acting antiviral regimens.

| Year & trial acronym | Treatment regimen                                               | Numbers of patients  | Experience/genotype/treatment duration (wks) | Sustained virological response rate | Reference            |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2012                 | Asunaprevir (PI) + daclatasvir (NS5a)                           | Gt1a = 9<br>Gt1b = 2 | Null/<br>1a + 1b/<br>24                      | 1a = 22%<br>1b = 100%               | Lok et al., 2012     |
| 2012                 | Asunaprevir (PI) + daclatasvir (NS5a)                           | 18                   | Null/<br>1b/<br>24                           | 83%<br>(SVR12)                      | Lok et al., 2012     |
| 2013                 | Asunaprevir (PI) + daclatasvir (NS5a)                           | 22                   | Naïve/<br>1b/<br>24                          | 64%                                 | Suzuki et al., 2013  |
|                      |                                                                 | 21                   | Null/<br>1b/<br>24                           | 90%                                 |                      |
| 2013                 | Sofosbuvir (NI) + daclatasvir (NS5a)                            | 15<br>14             | Naïve/<br>1/<br>24                           | 100%                                | Sulkowski, 2013      |
|                      |                                                                 | 16<br>14             | Naïve/<br>2,3/<br>24                         | 88–100%                             |                      |
|                      |                                                                 | 21                   | Null/<br>1/<br>24                            | 100%                                |                      |
| 2013<br>AVIATOR      | ABT 450/r (PI) ± ABT 267 (NS5a) ± ABT 333 (NNI)                 | 79                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 87%                                 | Kowdley et al., 2013 |
| 2013                 | Daclatasvir (NS5a) + asunaprevir (PI) + 75 mg BMS-791325 (NNI)  | 16                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 94%                                 | Everson et al., 2013 |
|                      |                                                                 | 16                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>24                           |                                     |                      |
|                      | Daclatasvir (NS5a) + asunaprevir (PI) + 150 mg BMS-791325 (NNI) | 24                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 94%<br>(SVR4)                       |                      |
|                      |                                                                 | 12                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>24                           | 89%<br>(SVR12)                      |                      |
| 2013<br>C-WORTHY     | MK-5172 (PI) + 50 mg MK-8742 (NS5A)                             | 13                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 100%<br>(SVR12)                     | Lawitz et al., 2013  |
| 2013                 | Daclatasvir (NS5a) + asunaprevir (PI) + 75 mg BMS-791325 (NNI)  | 80                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 92%<br>(SVR12)                      | Everson et al., 2013 |
|                      | Daclatasvir (NS5a) + asunaprevir (PI) + 150 mg BMS-791325 (NNI) | 86                   |                                              |                                     |                      |
| 2013<br>LONESTAR     | Sofosbuvir (NI) + ledipasvir (NS5A)                             | 20                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>12                           | 95%<br>(SVR12)                      | Lawitz et al., 2013  |
|                      |                                                                 | 20                   | Naïve/<br>1/<br>8                            | 95%<br>(SVR12)                      |                      |
|                      |                                                                 | 20                   | Failed PI/<br>1/<br>12                       | 100%<br>(SVR12)                     |                      |

The results from these studies demonstrate that treatment is possible without interferon or ribavirin with combination therapy utilizing direct-acting antivirals. Abbreviations: wks, weeks; PI, protease inhibitor; NNI, non-nucleoside inhibitor; SVR, sustained virologic response; Gt, genotype; r, ritonavir



The “holy grail” of the future of HCV therapy appears to include an easily dosed all-oral regimen that is highly effective, inexpensive, pan-genotypic, safe and tolerable, with minimal to no resistance. The achievement of these goals in the next 5 years seems to be attainable. The question of whether Rbv will have a continued role in the future of chronic HCV therapy is an important one. As the next-generation DAAs become available, Rbv will probably continue to be part of combination therapy. In the more distant future, with increasingly potent DAAs to attack multiple HCV targets, the use of Rbv may not be necessary, especially because of the lack of understanding of its mechanism of action in chronic HCV infection. Future studies that may answer this question include: non-inferiority studies between regimens with and without Rbv; feasibility studies of lower doses of Rbv in Rbv + DAA combination regimens; and comparative studies of a shorter duration of DAA therapy with the addition of Rbv. However, with the demonstrated potency of current investigational DAAs, we suspect that the use of Rbv may be limited other than in resource-poor settings.

Alternatively, in the era of cost containment, affordable health-care and accessibility, Rbv may still have significant appeal in HCV therapy. Rbv has been available in generic form since 2005 and a course of therapy with Rbv, as per current guidelines, ranges from approximately \$4500 for genotype 2/3 infection to \$13,500 for genotype 1 infection (48 weeks & >75 kg) (<http://www.goodrx.com/ribavirin>). The current cost of a treatment course with an FDA approved single DAA ranges from approximately \$26,000–\$49,000 (not including peginterferon and ribavirin) depending on response guided therapy (<http://hepatitisnewdrugs.blogspot.com/2011/09/telaprevir-incivekboceprevir-victrelis.html>). As expected, the impending arrival of multiple DAA regimens may drive the cost of therapy to become prohibitively expensive, and therefore not affordable to people in resource-poor settings. For example, the recently FDA approved regimen containing sofosbuvir comes with a price tag of \$80,000, which is only for sofosbuvir alone (<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6164/1302.full>). As HCV is a worldwide health problem with global consequences, future DAA regimens containing Rbv may be more affordable and accessible on an international scale, especially for the developing regions of the world. Much of this scenario will depend on the comparability of future studies evaluating regimens with and without Rbv (pertaining to non-inferiority).

As therapies are rapidly advancing, the efforts to successfully treat the large majority of patients with chronic HCV infection appear promising. Clinicians will soon have multiple drugs in their armamentarium to eradicate HCV infection. This new frontier, either with or without RBV, offers a more personalized approach to medicine, with the ultimate goal of improving patient care.

## Financial support

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Programs of the NIDDK, NIH. None of the authors has any conflict of interest related to this research.

## References

Bacon, B.R., Gordon, S.C., Lawitz, E., et al., 2011. Boceprevir for previously treated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 1207–1217.

Benhamou, Y., Afdhal, N.H., Nelson, D.R., et al., 2009. A phase III study of the safety and efficacy of virmidine versus ribavirin in treatment-naïve patients with chronic hepatitis C: ViSER1 results. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 50, 717–726.

Di Bisceglie, A.M., Shindo, M., Fong, T.L., et al., 1992. A pilot study of ribavirin therapy for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 16, 649–654.

Brillanti, S., Garson, J., Foli, M., et al., 1994. A pilot study of combination therapy with ribavirin plus interferon alfa for interferon alfa-resistant chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 107, 812–817.

Buckwold, V.E., 2004. Implications of finding synergic in vitro drug–drug interactions between interferon-alpha and ribavirin for the treatment of hepatitis C virus. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 53, 413–414.

Chemello, L., Cavalletto, L., Bernardinello, E., Guido, M., Pontisso, P., Alberti, A., 1995. The effect of interferon alfa and ribavirin combination therapy in naïve patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J. Hepatol.* 23 (Suppl 2), 8–12.

Chevaliez, S., Brillet, R., Lazaro, E., Hezode, C., Pawlotsky, J.M., 2007. Analysis of ribavirin mutagenicity in human hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Virol.* 81, 7732–7741.

Chung, R.T., Gale Jr., M., Polyak, S.J., Lemon, S.M., Liang, T.J., Hoofnagle, J.H., 2008. Mechanisms of action of interferon and ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C: Summary of a workshop. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 47, 306–320.

Di Bisceglie, A.M., Conjeevaram, H.S., Fried, M.W., et al., 1995. Ribavirin as therapy for chronic hepatitis C. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 123, 897–903.

Dietz, J., Schellhorn, S.E., Fitting, D., et al., 2013. Deep sequencing reveals mutagenic effects of ribavirin during monotherapy of hepatitis C virus genotype 1-infected patients. *J. Virol.* 87, 6172–6181.

Dusheiko, G., Main, J., Thomas, H., et al., 1996. Ribavirin treatment for patients with chronic hepatitis C: results of a placebo-controlled study. *J. Hepatol.* 25, 591–598.

EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines, 2011. Management of hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Hepatol.* 55, 245–264.

Everson, G., Sims, K.D., Rodriguez-Torres, M., et al., 2013. Interim analysis of an interferon (IFN)- and ribavirin (RBV)- free regimen of Daclatasvir (DCV), Asunaprevir (ASV), and BMS-791325 in treatment-naïve, hepatitis C Virus genotype 1-infected patients. *J. Hepatol.* 58, S567–S577.

Everson, G., Sims, K.D., Thuluvath, P.J., et al., 2013. Phase 2b study of the interferon-free and ribavirin-free combination of daclatasvir, asunaprevir, and BMS-791325 for 12 weeks in treatment-naïve patients with chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 58 (LB-1).

Feld, J.J., Lutchman, G.A., Heller, T., et al., 2010. Ribavirin improves early responses to peginterferon through improved interferon signaling. *Gastroenterology* 139 (154–62), e4.

Gane, E.J., Roberts, S.K., Stedman, C.A., et al., 2010. Oral combination therapy with a nucleoside polymerase inhibitor (RG7128) and danoprevir for chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 infection (INFORM-1): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation trial. *Lancet* 376, 1467–1475.

Gane, E.J., Stedman, C.A., Hyland, R.H., et al., 2013. Nucleotide polymerase inhibitor sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for hepatitis C. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 368, 34–44.

Ghany, M.G., Strader, D.B., Thomas, D.L., Seeff, L.B., 2009. Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C: an update. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 49, 1335–1374.

Ghany, M.G., Nelson, D.R., Strader, D.B., Thomas, D.L., Seeff, L.B., 2011. An update on treatment of genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C virus infection: 2011 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 54, 1433–1444.

Hall, C.B., Walsh, E.E., Hruska, J.F., Betts, R.F., Hall, W.J., 1983. Ribavirin treatment of experimental respiratory syncytial viral infection. A controlled double-blind study in young adults. *JAMA* 249, 2666–2670.

Hezode, C., Forestier, N., Dusheiko, G., et al., 2009. Telaprevir and peginterferon with or without ribavirin for chronic HCV infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 360, 1839–1850.

Ho, S.B., Aql, B., Dieperink, E., et al., 2011. U.S. multicenter pilot study of daily consensus interferon (CIFN) plus ribavirin for “difficult-to-treat” HCV genotype 1 patients. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 56, 880–888.

Hofmann, W.P., Polta, A., Herrmann, E., et al., 2007. Mutagenic effect of ribavirin on hepatitis C nonstructural 5B quaspecies in vitro and during antiviral therapy. *Gastroenterology* 132, 921–930.

Huggins, J.W., Hsiang, C.M., Cosgriff, T.M., et al., 1991. Prospective, double-blind, concurrent, placebo-controlled clinical trial of intravenous ribavirin therapy of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome. *J. Infect. Dis.* 164, 1119–1127.

Izumi, N., Lataillade, M., Chayama, K., et al., 2012. First Report of Peginterferon Lambda/Ribavirin in Combination With Either Daclatasvir or Asunaprevir in HCV Genotype 1 Japanese Subjects: Early Sustained Virologic Response (SVR4) Results from the D-LITE Japanese Substudy. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 56, 234.

Jacobson, I.M., Brown Jr., R.S., McCone, J., et al., 2007. Impact of weight-based ribavirin with peginterferon alfa-2b in African Americans with hepatitis C virus genotype 1. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 46, 982–990.

Jacobson, I.M., McHutchison, J.G., Dusheiko, G., et al., 2011. Telaprevir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 2405–2416.

Jacobson, I.M., Sulkowski, M., Gane, E., et al., 2012. VX-222, telaprevir and ribavirin in treatment-naïve patients with genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C: results of the ZENITH study interferon-free regimen. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 56, 308a–a.

Jacobson, I.M., Gordon, S.C., Kowdley, K.V., et al., 2013. Sofosbuvir for hepatitis C genotype 2 or 3 in patients without treatment options. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 368, 1867–1877.

Kamar, N., Rostaing, L., Abravanel, F., et al., 2010. Ribavirin therapy inhibits viral replication on patients with chronic hepatitis e virus infection. *Gastroenterology* 139, 1612–1618.

Koren, G., King, S., Knowles, S., Phillips, E., 2003. Ribavirin in the treatment of SARS: A new trick for an old drug? *CMAJ* 168, 1289–1292.

Kowdley, K.V., Poordad, F., et al., 2013. Safety and Efficacy of Interferon-Free Regimens of ABT-450/r, ABT-267, ABT-333 +/- Ribavirin in Patients with Chronic HCV GT1 Infection: Results from the AVIATOR Study. *J. Hepatol.* 58, S2.

- Lawitz, E., Gane, E.J., 2013. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 369, 678–679.
- Lawitz, E., Poordad, F., Kowdley, K.V., et al., 2013. A phase 2a trial of 12-week interferon-free therapy with two direct-acting antivirals (ABT-450/r, ABT-072) and ribavirin in IL28B C/C patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 1. *J. Hepatol.* 59, 18–23.
- Lawitz, E., Vierling, J.M., Murillo, A., 2013. High efficacy and safety of the all-oral combination regimen, MK-5172/MK-8742 +/- RBV for 12 weeks in HCV genotype 1 infected patients: the C-WORTHY study. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 58, 244A–245A.
- Lawitz, E., Poordad, F.F., Pang, P.S., Hyland, R.H., Ding, X., Mo, H., Symonds, W.T., McHutchison, J.G., Membreno, F.E., 2013. Sofosbuvir and ledipasvir fixed-dose combination with and without ribavirin in treatment-naïve and previously treated patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection (LONESTAR): an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet*. pii: S0140-6736(13)62121-2.
- Lee, J.H., von Wagner, M., Roth, W.K., Teuber, G., Sarrazin, C., Zeuzem, S., 1998. Effect of ribavirin on virus load and quasispecies distribution in patients infected with hepatitis C virus. *J. Hepatol.* 29, 29–35.
- Liang, T.J., Ghany, M.G., 2013. Current and future therapies for hepatitis C virus infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 368, 1907–1917.
- Lin, C.C., Phillips, L., Xu, C., Yeh, L.T., 2004. Pharmacokinetics and safety of viramidine, a prodrug of ribavirin, in healthy volunteers. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 44, 265–275.
- Lindahl, K., Stahle, L., Bruchfeld, A., Schvarcz, R., 2005. High-dose ribavirin in combination with standard dose peginterferon for treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 41, 275–279.
- Lok, A.S., Gardiner, D.F., Lawitz, E., et al., 2012. Preliminary study of two antiviral agents for hepatitis C genotype 1. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 366, 216–224.
- Lok, A.S., Gardiner, D.F., Hezode, C., et al., 2012. Sustained Virological Response in Chronic HCV Genotype (GT) 1-Infected Null Responders With Combination of Daclatasvir (DCV; NS5A Inhibitor) and Asunaprevir (ASV; NS3 Inhibitor With or Without Peginterferon Alfa-2a/Ribavirin (PEG/RBV)). *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 56, 230a–231a.
- Marcellin, P., Gish, R.G., Gitlin, N., et al., 2010. Safety and efficacy of viramidine versus ribavirin in ViSER2: randomized, double-blind study in therapy-naïve hepatitis C patients. *J. Hepatol.* 52, 32–38.
- McCormick, J.B., King, I.J., Webb, P.A., et al., 1986. Lassa fever. Effective therapy with ribavirin. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 314, 20–26.
- McHutchison, J.G., Everson, G.T., Gordon, S.C., et al., 2009. Telaprevir with peginterferon and ribavirin for chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 360, 1827–1838.
- Meyer, D.F., Tobias, H., Min, A.D., et al., 2010. Retreatment of patients nonresponsive to pegylated interferon and ribavirin with daily high-dose consensus interferon. *Hepatitis Res. Treat.* 2010, 537827.
- Muir, A.J., Shiffman, M.L., Zaman, A., et al., 2010. Phase 1b study of pegylated interferon lambda 1 with or without ribavirin in patients with chronic genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 52, 822–832.
- Osinusi, A., Meissner, E.G., Lee, Y.J., et al., 2013. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin for hepatitis C genotype 1 in patients with unfavorable treatment characteristics: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 310, 804–811.
- Patterson, J.L., Fernandez-Larsson, R., 1990. Molecular mechanisms of action of ribavirin. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* 12, 1139–1146.
- Poordad, F., Lawitz, E., Shiffman, M.L., et al., 2010. Virologic response rates of weight-based taribavirin versus ribavirin in treatment-naïve patients with genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 52, 1208–1215.
- Poordad, F., McCone Jr., J., Bacon, B.R., et al., 2011. Boceprevir for untreated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 1195–1206.
- Poordad, F., Lawitz, E., Kowdley, K.V., et al., 2013. Exploratory study of oral combination antiviral therapy for hepatitis C. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 368, 45–53.
- Rebetol® [package insert]. In: Merck, (Ed.), Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck, 2013.
- Reichard, O., Andersson, J., Schvarcz, R., Weiland, O., 1991. Ribavirin treatment for chronic hepatitis C. *Lancet* 337, 1058–1061.
- Rotman, Y., Noureddin, M., Feld, J.J., et al., 2014. Effect of ribavirin on viral kinetics and liver gene expression in chronic hepatitis C. *Gut* 63 (1), 161–169.
- Sidwell, R.W., Huffman, J.H., Khare, G.P., Allen, L.B., Witkowski, J.T., Robins, R.K., 1972. Broad-spectrum antiviral activity of Virazole: 1-beta-D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide. *Science* (New York, NY) 177, 705–706.
- Strader, D.B., Wright, T., Thomas, D.L., Seeff, L.B., 2004. Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 39, 1147–1171.
- Sulkowski, M., Gardiner, D.F., Rodriguez-Torres, M., et al., 2013. Sustained virological response with daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir +/- ribavirin (RBV) in chronic HCV genotype (GT) 1-infected patients who previously failed telaprevir (TVR) or boceprevir (BOC). *J. Hepatol.* 58, S567–S577.
- Sulkowski, M., Poordad, F., Manns, M., et al., 2011a. Anemia during treatment with peginterferon alfa-2B/ribavirin with Or without boceprevir is associated with higher SVR rates: analysis of previously untreated and previous-treatment-failure patients. *J. Hepatol.* 54, S194–S195.
- Sulkowski, M., Reddy, R.K., Afdhal, N., et al., 2011b. Anemia had no effect on efficacy outcomes in treatment-naïve patients who received telaprevir-based regimen in the advance and illuminate phase 3 studies. *J. Hepatol.* 54, S195.
- Suzuki, Y., Ikeda, K., Suzuki, F., et al., 2013. Dual oral therapy with daclatasvir and asunaprevir for patients with HCV genotype 1b infection and limited treatment options. *J. Hepatol.* 58, 655–662.
- Thomas, E., Feld, J.J., Li, Q., Hu, Z., Fried, M.W., Liang, T.J., 2011. Ribavirin potentiates interferon action by augmenting interferon-stimulated gene induction in hepatitis C virus cell culture models. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 53, 32–41.
- Tong, M.J., Hwang, S.J., Lefkowitz, M., et al., 1994. Correlation of serum HCV RNA and alanine aminotransferase levels in chronic hepatitis C patients during treatment with ribavirin. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 9, 587–591.
- Vierling, J.M., Lataillade, M., Gane, E., 2012. Sustained virologic response (SVR12) in HCV genotype 1 patients receiving peginterferon lambda in combination with ribavirin and either daclatasvir or asunaprevir: interim results from the D-LITE study. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 56.
- Wu, J.Z., Lin, C.C., Hong, Z., 2003. Ribavirin, viramidine and adenosine-deaminase-catalysed drug activation: implication for nucleoside prodrug design. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 52, 543–546.
- Younossi, Z.M., Stepanova, M., Henry, L., et al., 2013. Minimal impact of sofosbuvir and ribavirin on health related quality of life in chronic hepatitis C (CH-C). *J. Hepatol.*
- Zeuzem, S., Dusheiko, G., Salupere, R., 2013. Sofosbuvir + ribavirin for 12 or 24 wrrks for patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3: the VALENCE trial. *Hepatology* (Baltimore, Md.) 58, 733A–734A.
- Zeuzem, S., Soriano, V., Asselah, T., et al., 2013. Faldaprevir and deleobuvir for HCV genotype 1 infection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 369, 630–639.